UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,768	05/09/2006	Manfred Baumkoetter	2345/219	1770
26646 KENYON & K	7590 01/24/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		ZEWARI, SAYED T		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,768	BAUMKOETTER, MANFRED		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit are other evidence is entered. An evidence is entered.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Sayed T Zewari/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The newly submitted figures 2 and 3 are not accepted because they are not descriptive. Particulary figure 2 is not descriptive. It was previously asked of the applicant to provide a flow chart that details the operation of their invention. The figure 2 submitted is just some paragraphs in blocks.

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/23/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant discloses a method of providing a communication path between a mobile terminal and a network. Applicant claims that radio path is used between the mobile terminal and network to communicate and is treated as a cell.

Applicant argues that Labun does not refer to the situation in the present inventions where regardless of whether one or more of a radio path and internet connecting path are used, the connecting path is treated like a radio cell with respect to sequences and handovers. This argument is not persuasive. In any regular phone network cell, the air interface between the mobile and network can be regarded to be part of a cell and thus reads on this limitation

Applicant argues that the limitation of automatically initiating the access and switching unit and the telecommunication terminal treating the internet connecting path like another radio cell of the mobile telephone network with respect to sequences that are connected to an activation of the telecommunication terminal and its respective one off the check-in and booking into the mobile telephony network. This argument is not persuasive. It is already well known in the art that mobile terminals or base stations automatically initiate the process of switching over from one cell to another commonly known as handoff.